

Wearing HaSatan's Blindfold.

But among the people there were also false prophets, just as there will be false teachers among you. Under false pretences they will introduce destructive heresies, even denying the Master Yahusha who bought them, and thus bring on themselves swift destruction. Many will follow their debaucheries; and because of them the true Way will be maligned. In their greed they will exploit you with fabricated stories. (2Pet.2:1-3).

Isn't it amazing how the truth of Scripture becomes more and more apparent as the years role? It's a great shame that today we are seeing some believers once strong in true faith become susceptible to HaSatan's blindfold, causing them to do an about turn and denounce the deity of Mashiach, just as prophesied by the above verses. This is definitely the work of HaSatan, he is the principle adversary of Elohim, he is the only one fighting to undermine Yahusha's validity. He is the only one who benefits from the degradation of Mashiach. No one else benefits from this? I have to ask those discrediting Yahusha what are you actually achieving beyond serving HaSatan's cause?

There is the argument that the person spoken of in the Gospels was just an ordinary man possibly with a double dose of YHWH's Ruach/powers. The question begs what would YHWH's purpose be in doing such a thing? What is to be achieved? However there is purpose in YHWH sending His Son – only His Son could end death's reign. No ordinary person could fulfil this purpose. Some even question the prophet's reference to a virgin birth and many translations substitute the word virgin with maiden. True, the Hebrew '*almah*' can have either meaning but the gospel account leaves no doubt that Mashiach was born of a virgin. So why use the term 'maiden?' Whilst a maiden was generally considered to be a young untouched female; a virgin, today we live in a very promiscuous world where young teenagers are experiencing sex and this definition of a maiden can no longer be relied on: sufficient reason not to substitute 'virgin.' I can see no advantage in this substitution all it achieves is to mask the divine birth.

For a person to denounce Yahusha they must denounce the whole of the New Testament and some of these blasphemers have gone so far as to do this and relegate the New Testament to the fiction shelves of the library. I have to ask these people, if you don't believe the validity of the New Testament why believe the validity of the Old Testament?

Their denunciation of Yahusha appears to be based on their personal understanding of the term '*echad*.' They allege if YHWH is '*echad*' then Yahusha cannot be Elohim? Where does this philosophy place the Ruach HaKodesh (Holy Spirit) obviously He cannot be Elohim either? Let these blasphemers tell us therefore who the Ruach HaKodesh is. Is the philosophy of these blasphemers able to equate His omnipresence with Him being '*echad*?'

When will people stop trying to put the character of a very complex and complicated Elohim into a shoe box which they can measure? We do not know the extent of YHWH's character and it is impossible for our very limited mind-set to even vaguely comprehend the attributes of YHWH and to deny His Son on the basis of limited human understanding is simply idiotic. Is it impossible for YHWH to separate some of Himself and call it Son, equally Ruach?

By denying Yahusha these blasphemers are without atonement, they are still wearing the 'old sinful man,' they have not cloaked themselves with the 'new man' in Yahusha and they will remain in this situation until judgement. You may think these blasphemers are currently in the same position as the orthodox Jews because they too do not accept Yahusha. But there is a fundamental difference between these blasphemers and the orthodox Jews: the blindfold worn by the orthodox Jew and preventing him from accepting Yahusha, was tied by YHWH. However, we know from Zach.12:10 that YHWH is going to lift His blindfold causing the Jews to recognise Yahusha and subsequently receive atonement and salvation. However, this is not the situation with these blasphemers, they have **willingly** rejected Yahusha thereby **electing** to wear HaSatan's blindfold and there is nobody to remove it. Remember Yahusha words:

“But whoever shall deny Me before men, him I shall also deny before My Father who is in the heavens.” (Matt.10:33).

At the time of their judgement when they are standing in front of Mashiach, only then will these blasphemers see the truth but it will be too late, having denied Yahusha before men He will have already denied them, preventing them entry into The Kingdom. But exclusion from the Kingdom of Heaven may not be their only punishment, there may be worse in store because the result of wearing this particular blindfold, is not only the degradation of Yahusha it is to accuse Him of lying when He claims to be the Aleph and the Law,

“I am the ‘Aleph’ and the ‘Law’, Beginning and End,” says YHWH “who is and who was and who is to come, the Almighty.” (Rev.1:8).

The Book of Enoch leaves us in no doubt that these words refer to Mashiach Yahusha and I certainly would not want to face Yahusha having accused of Him of lies.

These blasphemers having once known the truth and subsequently turning away are placing themselves in a very precarious position,

For when people have once been enlightened, tasted the heavenly gift, become sharers in the Ruach HaKodesh and tasted the goodness of Elohim’s Word and the powers of the age to come and then have fallen away – it is impossible to renew them so that they turn from their sin, as long as for themselves they keep executing the Son of Elohim on the stake all over again and keep holding Him up to public contempt. For ground that is drinking the rain often falling on it, and is bearing plants fit for those by whom it is tilled, receives blessing from Elohim, but if it brings forth thorns and thistles, it is rejected and near to being cursed, and ends up by being burned. (Heb.6:4-8).

According to the writer of Hebrews they are under a curse, will lose all discernment and be unable to return to the truth.

Clifford Fearnley 2017